

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,924	07/22/2003	Thomas C. Stoneberg	COC11-GN002	7125
30074	7590 09/02/2004 EXAMINER			
TAFT, STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP SUITE 1800			BUECHNER, PATRICK M	
425 WALNUT STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202-3957			3754	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,924	STONEBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick M Buechner	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u>ugust 2004</u> .					
7						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 and 20-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/03.	6) Other:	and the second second				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,924

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in the reply filed on 8/13/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a spout closure, classified in class 222, subclass 519,522.
  - II. Claims 20-38, drawn to an overcap, classified in class 222, subclass 153.1.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as combination and subcombination.

nventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the specifics of the plug closing the opening. The subcombination has separate utility such as a stand-alone closure without the overcap.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. During a telephone conversation with Ryan Willis on 8/30/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.
- 8. In light of the restriction requirement and previous election of species requirement, claims 7-12 and 20-38 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Specification

10. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is longer than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 1-6 and 13-19 are allowed.
- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claims 1-6, the prior art does not disclose or fairly teach the apparatus as claimed including the specific

Application/Control Number: 10/624,924

Art Unit: 3754

structure of the plug seal surface; Regarding claims 13-19, the prior art does not disclose or fairly teach the apparatus as claimed including the specific structure of the deck.

13. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stoneberg (US 6,213,351) and Coory (US 6,772,910).
- This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters listed above.

  Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte*Ouayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick M Buechner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2602. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DR

Supervisery Patent Examilitier